

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division**

ANTHONY MCCOY,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. **3:11cv740**

ROBYN P. WALLACE, et al.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, a Virginia inmate proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. In order to state a viable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege that a person acting under color of state law deprived him or her of a constitutional right or of a right conferred by a law of the United States. *See Dowe v. Total Action Against Poverty in Roanoke Valley*, 145 F.3d 653, 658 (4th Cir. 1998) (*citing* 42 U.S.C. § 1983). In his current Complaint, Plaintiff does not identify the particular constitutional right that was violated by the defendants' conduct. Additionally, Plaintiff's allegations fail to provide each defendant with the facts and legal basis upon which his or her liability rests. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). Accordingly, by Memorandum Order entered on April 23, 2012, the Court directed Plaintiff to submit a particularized complaint within fourteen (14) days of the date of entry thereof. The Court warned Plaintiff that the failure to submit the particularized complaint would result in the dismissal of the action.

More than fourteen (14) days have elapsed since the entry of the April 23, 2012 Memorandum Order. Plaintiff failed to submit a particularized complaint or otherwise respond

An appropriate order shall issue.

HEH /s/
Henry E. Hudson
United States District Judge